arXiv:0809.4039v1 [math.AP] 23 Sep 2008

Differential Calculus and Integration of Generalized Functions over Membranes*

Aragona, J., Fernandez, R., Juriaans, S.O., Oberguggenberger, M.

Abstract

In this paper we continue the development of the differential calculus started in [2]. Guided by the topology introduced in [3] and [4] we introduce the notion of membranes and extend the definition of integrals, given in [2], to integral defined on membranes. We use this to prove a generalized version of the Cauchy formula and to obtain the Goursat Theorem for generalized holomorphic functions. We also show that the generalized transport equation can be solved giving an explicit solution.

1 Introduction

The theory of Colombeau generalized functions developed rapidly during the last years. It has useful applications and gives new inside where the classical theory does not (see [14]).

Having the algebraic theory ([5]) as a starting point, Aragona-Fernandez-Juriaans have developed a differential calculus which allows to introduce most notions of differential calculus and geometry into this context. Using the algebraic and differential theories, Aragona-Fernandez-Juriaans ([2]) were able to generalize a result of [7] on the existence of solutions for linear PDE's. In [6] these algebraic and differential theories were also used to study algebraic properties of the algebra of Colombeau generalized functions.

In this paper we continue the development of the calculus started in [2]. After defining what we mean by an n-dimensional membrane we define the integral of a generalized function over a membrane. We then proceed to apply these notions and results. Among these applications are the Cauchy Formula in the context of generalized holomorphic functions and examples which show that for some linear operators the equation $L(u) = f$ can be solved explicitly.

Basic references for the theory of Colombeau generalized numbers, functions and their topologies are [1], [8], [10], [11], [12], [13], [15] and [18].

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46F30 Secondary 46T20
Keywords and phrases: Colombeau algebra, generalized function, differential calculus, membranes, transport equation, Cauchy formula.

2 Differential Calculus

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset, $\mathbf{I} =]0,1]$ and $\mathbf{I}_\eta :=]0,\eta[$ for each $\eta \in \mathbf{I}$. As usual, $\mathbb{K}$ denotes indistinctly $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. The definitions of the algebra of the simplified generalized functions, $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, and the ring of the simplified generalized numbers, $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$, are the ones given in [5].

In this section we continue the theory developed in [2] and we shall use results and notation from [2] and [6]. We remind that $\kappa : \mathcal{G}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}_c, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ is the embedding introduced in [2] and that the function

$$(\mathcal{G}(\Omega))^p \ni (f_1, ..., f_p) \longmapsto (\kappa f_1, ..., \kappa f_p) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}_c, \overline{\mathbb{K}})^p$$

will also be denoted by κ.

The results here presented can be proved using the same arguments of their classical analog observing that

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{r(x)}{\alpha_{-\log ||x-x_0||}} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{||r(x)||}{||x - x_0||} = 0,$$

where $r : A \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}^s$ is a function, A is an open subset of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^m$ and $x_0 \in A$.

Theorem 2.1 (Chain Rule) *Let U be an open subset of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^m$, V an open subset of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^k$, $f : U \to V$ a function differentiable at $x_0 \in U$ and $g : V \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}^s$ differentiable at $f(x_0)$. Then $g \circ f$ is differentiable at x_0 and $D(g \circ f)(x_0) = Dg(f(x_0)) \circ Df(x_0)$.*

Theorem 2.2 *Let U and V be open subsets of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$, $f : U \to V$ a function with inverse $g : V \to U$. If f is differentiable at $x_0 \in U$, $det(Df(x_0)) \in Inv(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ and g is continuous in $y_0 := f(x_0)$, then g is differentiable at y_0.*

We now announce the two most classical theorems of differential calculus.

Theorem 2.3 (Inverse Function Theorem) *Let Ω be an open and convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $f \in (\mathcal{G}(\Omega))^n$ and $x_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$ such that $det(D(\kappa(f))(x_0)) \in Inv(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$. Then there exist U and V open subsets of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ such that $x_0 \in U$, $(\kappa(f))(x_0) \in V$ and $\kappa(f) : U \to V$ is a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-diffeomorphism.*

Theorem 2.4 (Implicit Function Theorem) *Let Ω be an open and convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^k$, $f \in (\mathcal{G}(\Omega))^k$, $(x_0, y_0) \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$ such that $\kappa(f)(x_0, y_0) = 0$ and $det(D_y(\kappa(f))(x_0, y_0)) \in Inv(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$. Then there exist $U \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^m$ and $V \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^k$ with $(x_0, y_0) \in U \times V \subset \tilde{\Omega}_c$ such that for all $x \in U$ there is a unique $g(x) \in V$ with $(\kappa(f))(x, g(x)) = 0$. Moreover the function $g : x \in U \mapsto g(x) \in V$ is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ and $Dg(x) = [D_y(\kappa(f))(x, g(x))]^{-1}[-D_x(\kappa(f))(x, g(x)]$, for all $x \in U$.*

Since the proofs do not differ much from the classical ones we omit them all.

Remark 2.5 *Let $\Omega :=]-1,1[$ and $f : \tilde{\Omega}_c \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ the function defined by $f(x) = \alpha_1 x$. Then $f = \kappa(F$, where $F = [(\varepsilon, y) \in]0,1] \times \Omega \mapsto \varepsilon y]$ has inverse $g = f^{-1}$, $g(x) = \alpha_{-1}x$, but there do not exist V, an open subset of $\mathbb{R}$, and $h \in \mathcal{G}(V)$ such that $\kappa(h) = f^{-1}$.*

The problem here is that there does not exists an open subset $V \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(\widetilde{V_c}) \subset \widetilde{\Omega}_c \subset B'_1(0)$. For if this were the case then, for $x_0 \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and $y_0 = [(x_0)]$, we would have that $\|g(y_0)\| = e\|y_0\| = e$ and hence $g(y_0) \notin B'_1(0)$. Hence we may conclude that there does not exists an open subset $V \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in \mathcal{G}(V)$ such that $g = \kappa(h)$. Indeed, if they did exist, then for the composition of F and h to make sense we must have that $h \in \mathcal{G}^*(V,]-1,1[)$ and hence, g would be defined on $\widetilde{V_c}$ and so $Im(g) \subset \widetilde{\Omega}_c$. This proves that F does not have an inverse as a generalized function.

In the next section we introduce a way in which an inverse of the function defined above exists without appealing to the inverse defined in $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$.

3 Integration on Membranes

Given $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $V_r[x_0] := \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}} \,|\, |x - x_0| \leq \alpha_r\}$, where the definition of absolute value was introduced in [6] and α_r was defined in [5]. It is proved in [3] and [4] that $\{V_r[x_0] \,|\, 0 < r \in \mathbb{R}\,,\, x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}\}$ is a basis of a topology in $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ which coincides with Scarpalezos' sharp topology. It is easy to verify that $x \in V_r[x_0]$ if and only if there exist representatives (x_ε), $(x_{0\varepsilon})$ of x and x_0 respectively such that $x_\varepsilon \in B_{\varepsilon^r}(x_{0\varepsilon})$, $\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}$. So if $x_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$, $(x_{0\varepsilon})$ is a representative of x_0 and $(M_\varepsilon) := (B_{\varepsilon^r}(x_{0\varepsilon}) \cap \Omega)$, then $V_r[x_0] = \{[(x_\varepsilon)] |\, x_\varepsilon \in M_\varepsilon\}$. Based on this fact we introduce the notion of membranes which will allow us to effectively integrate generalized functions.

We first start by defining what the subsets are over which we will be integrating generalized functions and then define how to integrate over these sets.

Definition 3.1 *We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)_M$ the family of subsets (M_ε) such that*

1. *$\exists K \subset \Omega$ a compact subset and $\eta \in \mathbf{I}$ such that $M_\varepsilon \subset K$ for $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_\eta$;*
2. *the characteristic function of M_ε is Riemann integrable for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_\eta$.*

Any element of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)_M$ is called a $n-$dimensional pre-membrane in Ω.

Note that 1. implies that $\{[(x_\varepsilon)] |\, x_\varepsilon \in M_\varepsilon\,, \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}\} \subset \tilde{\Omega}_c$.

Definition 3.2 *Let $\gamma = (\gamma_\varepsilon)$ be a family of elements of $\mathcal{C}^1([0,1], \mathbb{R}^n)$. It is called a n-dimensional history or just history if $(\gamma_\varepsilon([0,1]))$ is a pre-membrane and there are $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $c > 0$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{I}$ such that $|\gamma'_\varepsilon(t)| \leq c\varepsilon^{-N}, \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_\eta$ and $t \in [0,1]$. The pre-membrane $(\gamma_\varepsilon([0,1]))$ is denoted by γ^*.*

Two elements (M_ε) and (M'_ε) of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)_M$ are said to be equivalent if there exists a null-function $(\Psi_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the function ϕ defined on $\mathbf{I} \times \Omega$

by $\phi(\varepsilon, x) := x + \Psi_\varepsilon(x)$ satisfies $\phi(\varepsilon, M_\varepsilon) = M'_\varepsilon$, $\forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}$. This clearly defines an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)_M$. We denote the quotient space by $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)_M/_\sim$ and call its elements *n-dimensional membranes* in Ω or just membranes. It is easy to verify that if (M_ε) and (M'_ε) are equivalent and $\gamma = (\gamma_\varepsilon)$ is a history such that $\gamma^* = (M_\varepsilon)$, then (β_ε) is a history and $\beta^* = (M'_\varepsilon)$, where $\beta_\varepsilon(t) := \phi(\varepsilon, \gamma_\varepsilon(t))$.

Note that if (M_ε) and (M'_ε) are equivalent pre-membranes then

$$\{[(x_\varepsilon)] \mid\ x_\varepsilon \in M_\varepsilon\,,\, \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}\} = \{[(y_\varepsilon)] \mid\ y_\varepsilon \in M'_\varepsilon\,,\, \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}\}\,.$$

So we can define the function

$$j : \mathcal{P}(\Omega)_M/_\sim\ \ni [(M_\varepsilon)] \longmapsto \{[(x_\varepsilon)] \mid\ x_\varepsilon \in M_\varepsilon\,,\, \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}\} \subset \tilde{\Omega}_c\,.$$

Since $vol(M_\varepsilon)$ is uniformly bounded for small ε, we can define $vol(X)$ by $vol(X) := [\varepsilon \to vol(M_\varepsilon)]$.

From here on we shall write $X = [(M_\varepsilon)]$ instead of $X = j(\,[(M_\varepsilon)]\,)$. If we drop condition 2. of definition 3.1 we will speak of a pseudo-membrane, i.e., a family of subsets satisfying only the first condition of definition 3.1 will be called a pseudo-membrane. The image by j of a pseudo-membrane shall still be called a pseudo-membrane.

Lemma 3.3 *Let (M_ε) be a pseudo-membrane. Then $j([(M_\varepsilon)]) = j([(\overline{M_\varepsilon})])$, where $\overline{M_\varepsilon}$ is the topological closure of M_ε.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $j([(\overline{M_\varepsilon})]) \subset j([(M_\varepsilon)])$. Choose $x \in j([(\overline{M_\varepsilon})])$; then $x = [(x_\varepsilon)]$, with $x_\varepsilon \in \overline{M_\varepsilon}$. For each x_ε we may choose $y_\varepsilon \in M_\varepsilon$ such that $|x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon| < exp(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$. Since $(exp(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}))$ is a null-element we are done. ∎

If x, y are points in $\mathbb{K}^n$ we define the *generalized distance* between them as $d(x, y) = dist(x, y) := [\varepsilon \to dist(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)]$. This is a well defined element of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. We recall also that in [5] it is proved that if $w \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is a non-zero element then there exists an idempotent $e \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $e \cdot |w| > e \cdot \alpha_r$. If $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}^n$ then $V_r[x_0] = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}^n \mid d(x, x_0) < \alpha_r\}$. In [4] it is proved that these sets are a basis of neighborhood of the sharp topology of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^n$. We will use these observations in what follows.

Proposition 3.4 *Pseudo-membranes are closed in the sharp topology. Moreover, if $M = j([(M_\varepsilon)])$ and M_ε is convex, for small ϵ, then M is not open.*

Proof. Let M be a pseudo-membrane and choose $[(x_\varepsilon)] = x \notin M$. We may suppose, by Lemma 3.3, that $M = j([(M_\varepsilon)])$, with all M_ε closed. It follows that $dist(x, M) = d := [\varepsilon \to dist(x_\varepsilon, M_\varepsilon)]$ is a non-zero element of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and hence there exists an idempotent $e \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $e \cdot \alpha_r < e \cdot d$. Now let $r < s$ and $y \in V_s[x] \bigcap M$. Then, $d \leq dist(x, y) < \alpha_s < \alpha_r$ and thus $e \cdot \alpha_r < e \cdot d \leq e \cdot dist(x, y) < e \cdot \alpha_s < e \cdot \alpha_r$, a contradiction.

Let $x \in M$ be an interior point whose representative (x_ε) satisfies $x_\varepsilon \in \partial M_\varepsilon$. Since x is an interior point, there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $V_r[x] \subset M$. Since the

M_ε's are convex, we may choose points y_ε of norm 1 such that $z_\varepsilon := x_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^r y_\varepsilon$ satisfies $d(z_\varepsilon, \overline{M_\varepsilon}) \geq \varepsilon^r$. Set $z = [(z_\varepsilon)]$; then $dist(z, M) \geq \alpha_r$ and hence $z \notin M$. On the other hand $z \in V_r[x] \subset M$, a contradiction. ∎

As a corollary we have the following result.

Corollary 3.5 *Let (M_n) be a decreasing sequence of pseudo-membranes with diameters tending to zero. Then $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbf{N}} M_n$ consists of a single point.*

Proof. This is clear since $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^n$ is a complete metric space and pseudo-membranes are closed. ∎

Observe that the element $x = [(\frac{1}{-ln\varepsilon})] \approx 0$ and has norm 1. It follows that $B_1(0)$ is a proper subset of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}_0 = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}} \mid x \approx 0\}$. Observe also that $M = B_1(0)$ is not a membrane: in fact suppose that $M = [(M_\varepsilon)]$ and let N_ε be the convex hull of M_ε. As proved above, we may suppose that M_ε is closed for all ε. As is easily seen, $N = [(N_\varepsilon)]$ is contained in the convex hull of M. Since M is a sub ring of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$, it follows that it equals its convex hull. It follows that $M = N$ and hence is not open because all the N_ε's are convex, a contradiction.

Example 3.6 *Let $x \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^n}_c$, $r \in Inv(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ and let (x_ε), (x'_ε) be representatives of x and (r_ε), (r'_ε) be representatives of r. Consider the pre-membranes $(M_\varepsilon := B_{r_\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon))$ and $(M'_\varepsilon := B_{r'_\varepsilon}(x'_\varepsilon))$. Define (Ψ_ε) by*

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(y) := \frac{r'_\varepsilon - r_\varepsilon}{r_\varepsilon}(y - x_\varepsilon) + \frac{r_\varepsilon - r'_\varepsilon}{r_\varepsilon}(x'_\varepsilon - x_\varepsilon)\,.$$

Then $(\Psi_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi(\varepsilon, w) := w + \Psi_\varepsilon(w)$, $\forall\,(\varepsilon, w) \in \mathbf{I} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, satisfies $\phi(\varepsilon, M_\varepsilon) = M'_\varepsilon$, $\forall\,\varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}$. Hence (M_ε) and (M'_ε) are equivalent. When $r = \alpha_s$ then $j([(M_\varepsilon)])$ is just $V_s[x]$. Its volume is $vol(V_s[x]) = \pi\alpha_s^2 = \pi\alpha_{2s}$.

For this reason we call $V_s[x]$ a *generalized ball* whose center is x and whose radius is α_s. By a *generalized sphere* we shall mean a set of the form $\{x \in \tilde{\Omega}_c \mid \|x - x_0\| = \alpha_s\}$, for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$.

We are now in position to define a notion of integration of generalized functions that is consistent with the differential calculus we have developed so far. For this we need the following result.

Proposition 3.7 *Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, (f_ε) a representative of f and λ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

1. *If (M_ε) is a pre-membrane, then the function $\varepsilon \longmapsto \int_{M_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon\, d\lambda$ is moderate (is null if (f_ε) is null).*
2. *If (M_ε) and (M'_ε) are equivalent pre-membranes, then*

$$[\varepsilon \longmapsto \int_{M_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon\, d\lambda] = [\varepsilon \longmapsto \int_{M'_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon\, d\lambda]\,.$$

3. *If (M_ε) and (M'_ε) are equivalent pre-membranes and (g_ε) is a representative of f, then* $[\varepsilon \longmapsto \int_{M_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon \, d\lambda] = [\varepsilon \longmapsto \int_{M'_\varepsilon} g_\varepsilon \, d\lambda]$.

Proof. The assertion 1. is obvious. For 2. and 3., let $\Psi = [(\Psi_\varepsilon)] \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi(\varepsilon, x) := x + \Psi_\varepsilon(x)$, $\forall\, (\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbf{I} \times \Omega$, such that $\phi(\varepsilon, M_\varepsilon) = M'_\varepsilon$, $\forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}$. Denote by $D\phi$ the Jacobian matrix of ϕ and let J be its determinant. Then we have that $J = 1 + \tau$ with $\tau \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$ and

$$|\int_{M_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon d\lambda - \int_{M'_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon d\lambda| \leq \int_{M_\varepsilon} |\, f_\varepsilon(x) - f_\varepsilon(\phi(\varepsilon, x))| \, dx + \int_{M_\varepsilon} |f_\varepsilon(\phi(\varepsilon, x))\tau(\varepsilon, x)| \, dx\,.$$

Choosing a compact subset K containing $\cup_{\varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}}(M_\varepsilon \cup M'_\varepsilon)$, we can find $x_1, ..., x_s \in K$ and $r_1, ..., r_s > 0$ such that

$$K \subset L_1 := \cup_{1 \leq j \leq s} B'_{r_j}(x_j) \subset L := \cup_{1 \leq j \leq s} B'_{2r_j}(x_j) \subset \Omega\,.$$

As $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ there is $\eta_1 \in \mathbf{I}$ such that $\phi_\varepsilon(B'_{r_j}(x_j)) \subset B'_{2r_j}(x_j)$, $\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_{\eta_1}$. Since f is moderate there are $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $c > 0$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{I}_{\eta_1}$ such that $\max\{\, ||\nabla f_\varepsilon(x)||\,, |f_\varepsilon(x)|\,\} \leq c\varepsilon^{-N}$, $\forall\, x \in L$, $\forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_\eta$. Hence, by the Mean Value Theorem, noting that $B'_{r_j}(x_j) \subset B'_{2r_j}(x_j)$ and $B'_{2r_j}(x_j)$ are convex for all $1 \leq j \leq s$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_\varepsilon} |\, f_\varepsilon(x) - f_\varepsilon(\phi(\varepsilon, x))| \, dx &\leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \int_{B'_{r_j}(x_j)} |\, f_\varepsilon(x) - f_\varepsilon(\phi(\varepsilon, x))| \, dx \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \int_{B'_{r_j}(x_j)} c\varepsilon^{-N} |\Psi(\varepsilon, x)| \, dx\,, \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_\eta$. Using that $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tau \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$ and $|f_\varepsilon(\phi(\varepsilon, x))\tau(\varepsilon, x)| \leq \varepsilon^{-N}|\tau(\varepsilon, x)|$, $\forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_\eta$, $\forall\, x \in L_1$, we conclude that 2. holds. For 3. note that

$$|\int_{M_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon \, d\lambda - \int_{M'_\varepsilon} g_\varepsilon \, d\lambda| \leq |\int_{M_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon \, d\lambda - \int_{M'_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon \, d\lambda| + \int_{M'_\varepsilon} |f_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon| \, d\lambda\,.$$

The result now readily follows from the others assertions. ∎

The proposition above guarantees that the following definition makes sense.

Definition 3.8 *Let $f = [(f_\varepsilon)] \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, $M = [(M_\varepsilon)]$ a membrane of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ and λ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The generalized number*

$$\int_M f := [\varepsilon \longmapsto \int_{M_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon \, d\lambda\,]$$

is called the integral of f over the membrane M.

Definition 3.9 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $f = [(f_\varepsilon)] \in (\mathcal{G}(\Omega))^n$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_\varepsilon)$ a history.*

1. *If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then the generalized number*

$$\int_\gamma f \, d\gamma := [\varepsilon \longmapsto \int_0^1 < f_\varepsilon(\gamma_\varepsilon(t)) \,|\, \gamma'_\varepsilon(t) > \, dt]$$

is called the (line) integral of f along γ, where $< \cdot\,|\,\cdot >$ denotes the standard inner product of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

2. *If $n = 2$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, then the generalized number*

$$\int_\gamma f\, dz := [\varepsilon \longmapsto \int_0^1 f_\varepsilon(\gamma_\varepsilon(t))\gamma'_\varepsilon(t)\, dt\,]$$

is called the integral of f along γ.

It is easy to verify that these definitions make sense.

Note that if $n = 1$ and $([a_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon])$ is a membrane, then the history $\gamma = (\gamma_\varepsilon)$ where $\gamma_\varepsilon(t) := a_\varepsilon + t(b_\varepsilon - a_\varepsilon)\,, \forall\, t \in [0,1]$, can be identified with the element $(a,b) := ([(a_\varepsilon)],[(b_\varepsilon)]) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}_c$ and $\int_{([a_\varepsilon,b_\varepsilon])} f = \int_\gamma f\, d\gamma = \int_a^b f$, where the last integral is the one given in [2, section 4]. Conversely an element $(c,d) := ([c_\varepsilon, d_\varepsilon]) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}_c$ defines a history $\beta = (\beta_\varepsilon)$ such that $\int_\beta f\, d\beta = \int_c^d f$ (it is enough to define $\beta_\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon + t(d_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\,$, if $c_\varepsilon \leq d_\varepsilon$ and $\beta_\varepsilon = d_\varepsilon + t(d_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\,$, if $c_\varepsilon > d_\varepsilon$, $\forall\, t \in [0,1]$. In this case, the definition given here agrees with the one given in [2, section 4].

4 Calculus on Membranes

In this section we give some applications of the theory developed in the previous section.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, M a membrane of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ and λ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have:*

1. *There exists $x_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$ such that $\int_M f = vol(M) f(x_0)$.*
2. *There exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\int_M f\, d\lambda| \leq vol(M)\alpha_r$.*

Proof. The first item follows readily from its classical analog and the second one follows from the first one and the definition of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$. ∎

In what follows e_i will stand for $(0, \cdots, 1, \cdots) \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}^n$ and $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ will denote the standard bilinear form induced by the standard inner product of $\mathbb{K}^n$.

It is easily seen that if $f : \tilde{\Omega}_c \to \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is differentiable at $x_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$, then there exist a continuous function ϕ with $\phi(x_0) = 0$ and such that $f(x) - f(x_0) = \langle \nabla f(x_0) | (x - x_0)\rangle + \phi(x)\alpha_{-\log ||x-x_0||}$. From this and the fact that if $\gamma : \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_c \to \tilde{\Omega}_c$ is differentiable at t_0 then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{||\gamma(t) - \gamma(t_0)||}{||t - t_0||} &= \frac{||\gamma(t) - \gamma(t_0) - \gamma'(t_0)(t-t_0) + \gamma'(t_0)(t-t_0)||}{||t-t_0||} \\
&= \left|\left| \frac{\gamma(t) - \gamma(t_0) - \gamma'(t_0)(t-t_0) + \gamma'(t_0)(t-t_0)}{\alpha_{-\log ||t-t_0||}} \right|\right| \\
&\leq \max\left\{ \left|\left| \frac{\gamma(t) - \gamma(t_0) - \gamma'(t_0)(t-t_0)}{\alpha_{-\log ||t-t_0||}} \right|\right| , \left|\left| \frac{\gamma'(t_0)(t-t_0)}{\alpha_{-\log ||t-t_0||}} \right|\right| \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \quad \max\left\{\left|\left|\frac{\gamma(t)-\gamma(t_0)-\gamma'(t_0)(t-t_0)}{\alpha_{-\log\,||t-t_0||}}\right|\right|\ ,\ ||\gamma'(t_0)||\right\}$$

We deduce, using standard techniques of differential calculus, the chain rule for curves:

Theorem 4.2 *Let $f : \tilde{\Omega}_c \to \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\gamma : \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_c \to \tilde{\Omega}_c$. If γ is differentiable at t_0 and f is differentiable at $x_0 = \gamma(t_0)$ then $F := f \circ \gamma$ is differentiable at t_0 and $F'(t_0) = \langle \nabla f(\gamma(t_0)) | \gamma'(t_0) \rangle$.*

A history $\gamma = (\gamma_\varepsilon)$ is closed if γ_ε is a closed curve for small ε and γ is simple if for small ε we have that γ_ε is a simple curve. In an obvious way we define positively and negatively oriented histories. We say that γ is contractible if γ_ε is homotopic to 0 for small ε.

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $f = [(f_\varepsilon)] \in (\mathcal{G}(\Omega))^2$ then define the generalized function $rot(f) := [\,(\, x \in \Omega \mapsto rot\, f_\varepsilon(x)\,)\,]$. This is obviously a well defined element of $(\mathcal{G}(\Omega))^3$. We can now state the *Generalized Green Theorem*.

Theorem 4.3 (Green's theorem) *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, λ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^2$, $f \in (\mathcal{G}(\Omega))^2$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_\varepsilon)$ a closed, simple, contractible and positively oriented history. If $M = [(\gamma_\varepsilon([0,1]))]$, then*

$$\int_\gamma f d\gamma = \int_M \langle rot(f) \,|\, e_3 \rangle \, d\lambda \,.$$

Most other theorems of classical differential calculus can now, in a very natural way, be translated to this context. Since we have also the notion of a generalized manifold these results should also be extended to generalized manifolds.

5 The Generalized Cauchy Formula

In this section $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_\varepsilon)$ be a closed, simple, contractible history and $z_0 = [(z_{0\varepsilon})] \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $z_{0\varepsilon}$ belong to the bounded connected component of $\Omega \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon([0,1])\,, \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}$. As γ^* is a pre-membrane we can define the generalized number

$$d(z_0, \gamma^*) := [\varepsilon \mapsto d(z_{0\varepsilon}, \gamma_\varepsilon([0,1]))]$$

where $d(z_{0\varepsilon}, \gamma_\varepsilon([0,1]))$ is the distance of $z_{0\varepsilon}$ to the set $\gamma_\varepsilon([0,1])$. Note that, if $d(z_0, \gamma) \in Inv(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$, then $z - z_0 \in Inv(\overline{\mathbb{C}})$ for all $z \in [\gamma^*]$.

Let Ω be a simply connected domain and $f \in \mathcal{HG}(\Omega)$. In [2] we proved that any such f has a convergent Taylor series. In [16] is proved that f has a representative (f_ε) such that f_ε is holomorphic for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}$. Using this and the classical Cauchy Theorem we get the *G-Cauchy Formula*.

Theorem 5.1 (G-Cauchy Formula) *Let Ω be a simply connected set, γ be a closed, simple, contractible, positively oriented history and $z_0 = [(z_{0\varepsilon})] \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $z_{0\varepsilon}$ belong to the bounded connected component of $\Omega \setminus \gamma_\varepsilon([0,1])\,, \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}$. If $d(z_0, \gamma^*) \in Inv(\overline{\mathbb{C}})$ and $f = [(f_\varepsilon)] \in \mathcal{HG}(\Omega)$, then*

$$(\kappa(f))(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\gamma \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz := [\varepsilon \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} \frac{f_\varepsilon(z)}{z - z_{0\varepsilon}}\, dz\,]\,.$$

Now we shall prove the Goursat Theorem in this context. In [2] this theorem was proved with the condition that f is sub-linear.

Theorem 5.2 (Goursat Theorem) *Let $f \in \mathcal{HG}(\Omega)$. Then $\kappa(f)$ is analytic in $\tilde{\Omega}_c$.*

Proof. Let $z_0 = [(z_{0\varepsilon})] \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$ and $f = [(f_\varepsilon)]$. Then there are $\eta_1 \in \mathbf{I}$ and $K \subset \Omega$ compact sets such that $z_{0\varepsilon} \in K\,, \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_{\eta_1}$. From this we can choose $R > 0$ such that $B_R(z_{0\varepsilon}) \subset \Omega\,, \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_{\eta_1}$. Let $\rho < r/4 < R/2$ and $\eta_2 \in \mathbf{I}_{\eta_1}$ such that $\varepsilon^\rho < \rho\,, \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_{\eta_2}$. Define $\gamma_\varepsilon(t) := z_{0\varepsilon} + re^{2\pi i t}\,, \forall\, t \in [0,1]\,, \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_{\eta_2}$ and $\gamma_\varepsilon := \gamma_{\eta_2/2}\,, \forall\, \eta_2 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$. So $\gamma = (\gamma_\varepsilon)$ is a closed, simple, contractible and positively oriented history.

Let $z = [(z_\varepsilon)] \in V_\rho[z_0]$. Then there is $\eta \in I_{\eta_2}$ such that $\forall\, t \in [0,1]$ one has $\varepsilon^\rho - |z_\varepsilon - z_{0\varepsilon}| \geq -\varepsilon^\rho$ and $|z_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon(t)| = |z_\varepsilon - z_{0\varepsilon} - re^{2\pi i t}| \geq r - |z_\varepsilon - z_{0\varepsilon}| \geq r - 2\varepsilon^\rho \geq r - 2\rho > 2\rho$. Thus $d(z, \gamma^*) \in Inv(\mathbb{C})$. Fix $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{I}_\eta\,,\ w \in \gamma_\varepsilon([0,1])$ and note that

$$\frac{f_\varepsilon(w)}{w - z_\varepsilon} = \frac{f_\varepsilon(w)}{w - z_{0\varepsilon}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z_\varepsilon - z_{0\varepsilon}}{w - z_{0\varepsilon}}\right)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_\varepsilon(w) \frac{(z_\varepsilon - z_{0\varepsilon})^n}{(w - z_{0\varepsilon})^{n+1}}\,,$$

and so

$$2\pi i f_\varepsilon(z_\varepsilon) = \int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} \frac{f_\varepsilon(w)}{w - z_\varepsilon}\, dw = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon(w) \frac{(z_\varepsilon - z_{0\varepsilon})^n}{(w - z_{0\varepsilon})^{n+1}}\, dw\,.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i(\kappa(f))(z) = \int_\gamma \frac{f(w)}{w - z}\, dw &= \left[\left(\int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} \frac{f_\varepsilon(w)}{w - z_\varepsilon}\, dw\right)\right] \\ &= \left[\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon(w) \frac{(z_\varepsilon - z_{0\varepsilon})^n}{(w - z_{0\varepsilon})^{n+1}}\, dw\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using that $||z - z_0|| < 1$, γ^* is a pre-membrane and (f_ε) is moderate it is not difficult to prove that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_\gamma f(w) \frac{(z-z_0)^n}{(w-z_0)^{n+1}}\, dw$ converges (since that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\gamma f(w) \frac{(z-z_0)^n}{(w-z_0)^{n+1}}\, dw = 0$) and that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_\gamma f(w) \frac{(z - z_0)^n}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}}\, dw = \left[\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} f_\varepsilon(w) \frac{(z_\varepsilon - z_{0\varepsilon})^n}{(w - z_{0\varepsilon})^{n+1}}\, dw\right)\right].$$

Hence $2\pi i(\kappa(f))(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_\gamma \frac{f(w)}{(w-z_0)^{n+1}}\, dw\, (z-z_0)^n\,, \forall\, z = [(z_\varepsilon)] \in V_\rho[z_0]\,.$
∎

6 The Transport Equation

In this section we shall consider the transport equation with generalized coefficients. We prove that we have now all the tools to give a classical solution of this problem.

Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, \infty[$ and $u : \tilde{\Omega}_c \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a differentiable function. Denote by u_t the partial derivative of u in the last variable and let $\nabla u = (\nabla_x u, u_t)$, where $\nabla_x u$ is the gradient vector of u with respect to the first n variables. For $b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^1(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^n}_c, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$ we consider the transport equation

$$u_t + \langle\, \nabla_x u \,|\, b \,\rangle = 0 \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}_c \;,\; u(x,0) = g(x)\,, \forall\, x \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^n}_c\,. \tag{1}$$

Let $w(x,t) := g(x - tb)\,,\ \forall\,(x,t) \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$. By Theorem 4.2, we have that w is a solution of (1). Moreover, if $g \in \kappa(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $v \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ is a solution of (1) in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, then $\kappa v = w$.

Just like in the classical case, we can get an explicit solution of the boundary value problem

$$u_t + \langle\, \nabla_x u \,|\, b \,\rangle = \kappa(f) \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}_c \;,\; u(x,0) = g(x)\,, \forall\, x \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^n}_c\,, \tag{2}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n \times]-a, \infty[)$ for some $a > 0$. In this case, let

$$w(x,t) := g(x - tb) + \int_{M_t} f(x + sb, t + s)\, d\lambda(s)\,, \forall\,(x,t) \in \tilde{\Omega}_c\,,$$

where λ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and if $t = [(t_\varepsilon)]$, then $M_t = [\,(\,[-t_\varepsilon, 0]\,)\,]$. So w is a solution of (2). Besides, if $g \in \kappa(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $v \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ is a solution of (2) in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, then $\kappa v = w$.

This proves that the differential calculus we developed allows us to solve the generalized transport equation just like in the classical case. Using the solution of this equation, we can solve, for $n = 1$ and $g\,,\, h \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the boundary value problem

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} = 0 \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}_c \;,\; u(x,0) = (\kappa g)(x) \text{ and } u_t(x,0) = (\kappa h)(x) \;,\; \forall\, x \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^n}_c\,,$$

giving a formula for its solution just as is done in the classical case. In this case a solution is the function

$$w(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}[\, g(x+t) + g(x-t)\,] + \frac{1}{2}\int_{M_{x\,t}} h\, d\lambda\,, \forall\,(x,t) \in \tilde{\Omega}_c\,,$$

where λ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and if $x = [(x_\varepsilon)]$ and $t = [(t_\varepsilon)]$, then $M_{x\,t} = [\,(\,[x_\varepsilon - t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon + t_\varepsilon]\,)\,]$.

Acknowledgment: Part of this work was done when the last author was visiting the University of São Paulo in August 2006. His visit was partially supported

by FAPESP-Brazil. One should also notice that in [9] there is also a version of the implicit function theorem and that in [17] membrane are treated in a more general sense.

References

[1] Aragona, J., Biagioni, H., *Intrinsic definition of the Colombeau algebra of generalized functions,* Anal. Math. 17, 2 (1991), 75-132.

[2] Aragona, J., Fernandez, R., Juriaans, S.O., *A discontinuous Colombeau Differential Calculus,* Monatsh. Math. 144,(2005), 13-29.

[3] Aragona, J., Fernandez, R., Juriaans, S.O., *The sharp topology on the full Colombeau algebra of generalized functions* (2005), Integral Transforms and Special Functions vol. 17, Nos. 2-3, February-March 2006, 165-170.

[4] Aragona, J., Fernandez, R., Juriaans, S.O., *Natural Topologies on Colombeau algebras*, submitted

[5] Aragona, J., Juriaans, S.O., *Some Structural Properties of the Topological Ring of Colombeau Generalized Numbers* Comm. Alg. 29(5), (2001), 2201-2230.

[6] Aragona, J., Juriaans, S.O., Oliveira O.R., Scarpalezos, D., *Algebraic and Geometric Theory of Topological Algebra of Generalized Functions of Colombeau*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc, to appear.

[7] Aragona, J., Soares, M., *An Existence Theorem for an Analytic first order PDE in the Framework of Colombeau's theory* Monatsh. Math. 134, (2001), 9-17.

Aragona, J., Biagioni, H., *Intrinsic definition of the Colombeau algebra of generalized functions,* Anal. Math. 17, 2 (1991), 75-132.

[8] Colombeau, J.F., New Generalized Functions and Multiplication of Distributions, *North Holland*, Amsterdam 1984.

[9] Erlacher, E., Ph.D. Thesis, 2007

[10] Grosser, M., Kunzinger, M., Oberguggenberger, M., Steinbauer, R., *Geometric Theory of Generalized Functions with Applications to General Relativity,* Kluwer Acad. Publ. vol 537, 2001.

[11] Grosser M, Kunzinger M., Steinbauer R., Vickers J.A., *A Global Theory of Algebras of Generalized Functions*, Adv. Math., 166 (1), (2002), 50-72.

[12] Kunzinger, M. , *Lie Transformation Groups in Colombeau algebras,* Doctoral Thesis, University of Viena, 1996.

[13] Kunzinger, M., Oberguggenberger M., *Characterization of Colombeau Generalized Functions by their Point Value,* Math. Nachr. 203, (1999), 147-157.

[14] Oberguggenberger, M. *Multiplication of Distributions and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Pitman, 1992.

[15] Oberguggenberger M., Pilipovic S., Scarpalezos D., *Local Properties of Colombeau Generalized Functions*, Math. Nachr. 256, (2003), 88-99.

[16] Oberguggenberger M., Pilipovic S., Valmorin V., *Global Representatives of Colombeau holomorphic Generalized Functions*, preprint, 2005.

[17] Oberguggenberger M., Vernaeve H., ..., preprint, 200?.

[18] Scarpalezos, D., *Colombeau's Generalized Functions: Topological Structures Micro Local Properties. A simplified point of view,* CNRS-URA212, Université Paris 7, 1993.

J. Aragona, R. Fernandez and S.O. Juriaans
Instituto de Matemática e Estatística - Universidade de São Paulo
CP 66281 - CEP 05311-970 - São Paulo - Brazil
E-mail addresses: aragona@ime.usp.br; roselif@ime.usp.br;
ostanley@ime.usp.br

M. Oberguggenberger
Institut für Technische Mathematik, Geometrie und Bauinformatik, Universität
Inssbruck, A - 6020 Inssbruck, Austria
E-mail address: michael@mat1.uibk.ac.at